

## The Peer Review Process Statement of Journal of China Medical Devices

*Journal of China Medical Devices* strictly follows the codes of ethics published by domestic and foreign editorial groups. The author who submit to this journal must confirm the originality of the content of the manuscript, that is, the submitted manuscript must be the result written independently by authors after reviewing the relevant materials. At the same time, all the submitted manuscripts must be evaluated and reviewed by experts in the same field, and must be qualified by peer review before being published in this journal. The peer review process of this journal is stated as follows.

### **The Peer Review Process**

Peer review process: format review → preliminary review → peer review → Reject or revision → 2nd round review → final review.

Note: the journal strictly follows the review process, and does not make a commitment to the specific reception time of the manuscript. Acceptance is subject to the quality of the manuscript meeting the publication requirements.

### **Peer Review Type**

This journal uses single-blind peer review, that names of the reviewers are not known by the author, the reviewers know the author's name, affiliation, etc.

### **Qualification for Peer Reviewer**

1. Good moral character, rigorous style of study, serious and responsible, and high medical professional academic level.

2. Have the title of associate senior title or above.
3. The first leader of fund projects at provincial or ministerial level.
4. Voluntarily apply for the reviewing, and willing to undertake the obligation as review of this journal.

## **Responsibilities of Peer Reviewer**

1. Timely check the peer review notification in the email, log in the online review system to decide whether to review or not. By accepting the review work, objective, fair, just, detailed and clear comments should be submitted on time; if the review is not accepted, please click “I do not accept the review invitation” and recommend the appropriate reviewer.

2. Remind the editor of possible ethical problems (such as ethical problems in human or animal studies) or other problems found.

3. Remind the editors that the reviewed manuscripts are excessively similar to the published papers or submitted manuscripts.

4. Evaluate the manuscript as a whole, fill in the expert review sheet, and give comprehensive opinions: accept, accept after revision, 2<sup>nd</sup> round review after revision, and rejection.

5. The content and review results of the manuscript shall be kept confidential, and scientific ethics shall be maintained. The use of the content and data in the manuscript review shall be prohibited before publication.

6. Take the initiative to inform the editorial department whether there is any interest involved in reviewing the manuscript, and refuse to review the manuscript if there is any conflict of interest.

7. It is forbidden to contact the author privately or discuss the manuscript directly with the author.

8. Explain questions that are uncertain in the manuscript.